1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON AT SEATTLE	
8		
9		
10	CARPENTERS HEALTH AND SECURITY TRUST OF WESTERN	CASE NO. C18-0433JLR
11	WASHINGTON, et al.,	ORDER DENYING WITHOUT PREJUDICE MOTION FOR
12	Plaintiffs, v.	DEFAULT JUDGMENT
13		
14	QUALITY FINISH, INC.,	
15	Defendant.	
16	Before the court is Plaintiffs Carpenters Health and Security Trust of Western	
17	Washington, Carpenters Retirement Trust of Western Washington, Carpenters-Employers	
18	Vacation Trust of Western Washington, and Carpenters-Employers Apprenticeship and	
19	Training Trust of Western Washington's (collectively, "Plaintiffs") motion for default	
20	judgment against Defendant Quality Finish, Inc. (Mot. (Dkt. # 9).)	
21	The Local Civil Rules provide that a party seeking default judgment must set forth	
22	" a concise explanation of how all amounts were calculated," and must support this	

explanation with evidence establishing the amount of the principal claim, as well as any 2 interest and attorney's fees sought. See Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 55(b)(2). Plaintiffs' motion references various declarations in support of specific factual 3 4 propositions, but generally does not provide pincites to relevant page and line numbers. (See, e.g., Mot. at 5 n.13-14.) The declarations, in turn, reference a number of lengthy 5 6 exhibits, but fail to cite to relevant page numbers or sections within those exhibits. (See, 7 e.g., Coty Decl. (Dkt. # 10) ¶¶ 10-11.) This format does not constitute "a concise 8 explanation of how all amounts were calculated." Local Rules W.D. Wash. LCR 9 55(b)(2). Plaintiffs leave for the court the task of locating evidentiary support for the 10 factual assertions underlying Plaintiffs' motion. The court refuses to do this work for 11 Plaintiffs. See Indep. Towers of Wash. v. Washington, 350 F.3d 925, 929 ("[J]udges are not like pigs, hunting for truffles buried in briefs.") (quoting *United States v. Dunkel*, 927 12 13 F.2d 955, 956 (7th Cir. 1991)). 14 Local Rule 55 imposes a heavy evidentiary burden on a party seeking entry of default judgment because such relief is obtained without the benefit of the adversarial 15 process. Plaintiffs fail to satisfy that burden. Accordingly, the court DENIES Plaintiffs' 16 17 motion for default judgment (Dkt. # 9) WITHOUT PREJUDICE to seeking default 18 19 20 21 22

judgment in accordance with the Local Civil Rules. The court ORDERS Plaintiffs to file an amended motion for default judgment within fourteen (14) days of this order. Dated this 28th day of August, 2018. R. Plut The Honorable James L. Robart U.S. District Court Judge